

UDK: 334.722:330.34(575.1)


Lóránt DÉNES DÁVID

*Full Professor, Department of Tourism and Hospitality, Faculty of Economics and Business,
John von Neumann University, Kecskemét, Hungary*

*and
Department of Tourism and Hospitality, Institute of Rural Development and Sustainable
Economy, Hungarian University of Agriculture and Life Sciences (MATE), Gödöllő, Hungary*

*and
Savaria Department of Business Economics, Savaria University Centre, Faculty of Social
Sciences, Eötvös Loránd University; Szombathely, Hungary*

*and
Department of Tourism and Hospitality, Kautz Gyula Faculty of Business and Economics,
Széchenyi István University, Győr, Hungary*

 ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9591-5921>
dr.david.lorant@gmail.com

MICRO-ENTREPRENEURSHIP AND ECONOMIC RESILIENCE IN POST-PANDEMIC UZBEKISTAN: CHALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES

**Микропредпринимательство и экономическая устойчивость в постпандемическом
Узбекистане: вызовы и возможности**
**Postpandemiya davridagi O‘zbekistonda mikro-tadbirkorlik va iqtisodiy barqarorlik:
muammolar va imkoniyatlar**

Abstract *This paper examines how micro-entrepreneurs in post-pandemic Uzbekistan adapt to institutional voids, limited financial access, and gendered constraints. Based on eight in-depth interviews, the study identifies key challenges and highlights strategies such as digital adoption, informal collaboration, and market repositioning. While these reflect entrepreneurial resilience, systemic barriers limit sustainable growth. The findings offer insights into entrepreneurship in transitional economies and call for integrated policy reforms to enable inclusive recovery.*

Keywords: *Micro-Entrepreneurship, Economic Resilience, Gender, Informality, Digitalization, Uzbekistan, Transitional Economy.*

Аннотация *В статье рассматривается, как микро предприниматели в пост пандемическом Узбекистане адаптируются к институциональным пробелам, ограниченному доступу к финансированию и гендерным ограничениям. На основе восьми углублённых интервью исследование выявляет ключевые проблемы и подчеркивает стратегии, такие как цифровизация, неформальное сотрудничество и переориентация на новые рыночные ниши. Хотя эти меры демонстрируют устойчивость предпринимателей, системные барьеры ограничивают устойчивый рост. Полученные результаты дают представление о предпринимательстве в условиях переходной экономики и подчеркивают необходимость комплексных политических реформ для обеспечения инклюзивного восстановления.*

Ключевые слова: *Микропредпринимательство, Экономическая устойчивость, Гендер, Неформальность, Цифровизация, Узбекистан, Переходная экономика.*

Annotatsiya *Ushbu maqola pandemiyadan keyingi O‘zbekistonda mikro-tadbirkorlarning institutsional bo‘shliqlar, moliyaviy resurslarga cheklangan kirish va genderga asoslangan cheklovlarga qanday moslashayotganini tahlil qiladi. Sakkizta chuqurlashtirilgan suhbat asosida*

tadqiqot asosiy muammolarni aniqlaydi va raqamlashtirish, norasmiy hamkorlik va bozor yo'nalishini o'zgartirish kabi strategiyalarni ta'kidlaydi. Bu yondashuvlar tadbirkorlik barqarorligini ko'rsatsa-da, tizimli to'siqlar barqaror o'sishni cheklaydi. Natijalar o'tish davridagi iqtisodiyotlarda tadbirkorlik xususiyatlarini yoritadi va inklyuziv tiklanishni ta'minlash uchun kompleks siyosiy islohotlarga chaqiradi.

Kalit so'zlar: *Mikro-tadbirkorlik, Iqtisodiy barqarorlik, Gender, Norasmiylik, Raqamlashtirish, O'zbekiston, O'tish iqtisodiyoti.*

1. INTRODUCTION

Uzbekistan's micro-entrepreneurial landscape faces unprecedented challenges as it navigates the confluence of COVID-19 pandemic disruptions and the unfinished transition from Soviet-era centralized economic planning to market-oriented systems. The country gained independence in 1991 following the collapse of the Soviet Union, inheriting an economy characterized by interdependence among republics and centrally controlled production systems [1]. Unlike resource-rich neighbors such as Kazakhstan and Azerbaijan, Uzbekistan lacks significant oil and natural gas reserves, making entrepreneurship development particularly critical for economic prosperity and employment generation. The pandemic's arrival added acute health crisis pressures to existing structural weaknesses, including institutional voids, limited access to credit, and regulatory barriers that constrain business formation and growth [2]. Micro-entrepreneurs – operating at the smallest scale of business activity – experienced disproportionate impacts from lockdowns, supply chain disruptions, and demand shocks, yet their adaptive responses and resilience strategies remain insufficiently documented in the academic literature.

This study addresses a critical research gap by investigating how micro-entrepreneurs in Uzbekistan's transitional economy navigate post-pandemic recovery amid persistent institutional constraints and resource scarcity. The COVID-19 crisis represented an existential threat to small businesses globally, with particular severity in contexts where regulatory frameworks, financial infrastructure, and support systems remain underdeveloped [2], [29]. Central Asian entrepreneurship research remains notably understudied compared to other global regions, with limited empirical evidence on the specific challenges facing entrepreneurs in these transitional economies [1]. The research objectives include identifying key barriers and opportunities confronting micro-entrepreneurs during recovery, examining strategies for resilience enhancement, and analyzing gender dimensions of entrepreneurial adaptation given evidence that women entrepreneurs face unique obstacles in fragile and transitional contexts [3], [28]. Understanding these dynamics carries significant implications for policy development and entrepreneurship support program design, particularly as Uzbekistan seeks to strengthen its business environment and reduce regulatory burdens [4]. The findings contribute to both theoretical understanding of entrepreneurship in transitional economies and practical knowledge for stakeholders invested in fostering sustainable micro-enterprise development in Central Asia.

2. Literature Review

Theoretical frameworks of entrepreneurial resilience examining adaptive capacity, dynamic capabilities, and bounce-back mechanisms entrepreneurs employ during crisis periods. Entrepreneurial resilience encompasses the capacity of business owners to withstand external shocks, adapt operational strategies, and recover to sustainable performance levels following disruptions. The COVID-19 pandemic necessitated immediate operational adjustments among small and medium enterprises (SMEs), particularly in sectors affected by lockdowns and supply chain interruptions [5]. Lean entrepreneurship principles emerged as critical adaptive mechanisms, enabling entrepreneurs to minimize resource waste, optimize operational processes, and maintain business continuity despite social-economic turbulence [5]. Dynamic capabilities—the firm's ability to integrate, build, and reconfigure internal and external competences – proved essential for navigating pandemic-induced uncertainty, with successful entrepreneurs demonstrating flexibility in pivoting business models, adopting digital channels, and restructuring value propositions [2]. The sustainability transition opportunities created by the pandemic highlighted how resilient

entrepreneurs leverage disruptions to innovate toward more sustainable practices, particularly regarding resource efficiency and circular economy principles [6]. Institutional voids and entrepreneurship in transitional economies, exploring how weak formal institutions shape entrepreneurial strategies and reliance on informal mechanisms.

Transitional economies face distinctive institutional challenges stemming from incomplete market reforms and weak regulatory frameworks that create significant barriers to entrepreneurial development. The privatization processes in post-Soviet contexts frequently failed to deliver anticipated performance improvements due to inadequate institutional capacity, insufficient managerial resources among new owners, and absence of supporting legal and financial infrastructure [7]. Central Asian nations experienced particular turbulence following independence as interdependent Soviet-era production systems fragmented, forcing entrepreneurs to navigate environments where formal institutional support remained underdeveloped [1]. These institutional voids – gaps in market-supporting institutions including regulatory systems, contract enforcement mechanisms, and financial intermediaries—compel entrepreneurs to rely extensively on informal networks, personal relationships, and adaptive strategies that compensate for missing formal structures [7]. The variation in entrepreneurial development across transitional economies reflects differences in institutional maturation stages, with resource-rich countries advancing more rapidly than those lacking significant natural resource endowments [1]. Gender and entrepreneurship in developing contexts, analyzing structural barriers, discriminatory practices, and resource access inequalities facing women entrepreneurs

Women entrepreneurs in developing and fragile contexts encounter systemic disadvantages rooted in discriminatory legal frameworks, restrictive social norms, and unequal access to productive resources. Legal discrimination against women – including restrictions on property ownership, inheritance rights, employment choices, and mobility – correlates significantly with reduced financial inclusion, with women in restrictive legal environments substantially less likely to own formal financial accounts even after controlling for income, education, and employment status [8]. Gender-specific and gender-transformative interventions in fragile and conflict-affected settings demonstrate positive effects on empowerment outcomes directly targeted by programs, though behavioral changes further along the causal chain prove more difficult to achieve [3]. Gender norms and cultural practices constitute critical barriers to intervention effectiveness, with restrictive social expectations undermining women’s capacity to capitalize on entrepreneurial support programs [3]. The gender gap in financial access persists across regions, with women entrepreneurs facing heightened difficulties securing credit, opening business accounts, and accessing formal financial services essential for business growth and sustainability [8].

Post-pandemic economic recovery and SME adaptation, reviewing literature on COVID-19 impacts, business model innovations, and digital transformation acceleration The COVID-19 pandemic created an existential threat to small businesses globally, spurring extensive scholarly examination of survival strategies, adaptation mechanisms, and recovery pathways. Research documents how SMEs navigated lockdown restrictions, demand fluctuations, and operational disruptions through business model innovations, digital channel adoption, and restructured customer engagement approaches [2]. The pandemic’s impacts extended across sustainability’s triple bottom line – economic, social, and environmental dimensions – with implications for long-term development trajectories and achievement of sustainable development goals [6]. Economic resilience emerged as a critical priority, requiring innovative solutions to address income losses, employment disruptions, and market access challenges that disproportionately affected small enterprises lacking financial buffers [6]. The sustainability transition opportunities created by pandemic-related disruptions included accelerated adoption of digital technologies, increased focus on local supply chains, and heightened attention to resource efficiency and circular economy principles [6].

Central Asian entrepreneurship landscape highlighting Uzbekistan’s reform trajectory, neighboring country comparisons, and regional entrepreneurial ecosystem characteristics. Central Asian entrepreneurship development varies substantially across nations based on resource

endowments, reform progress, and institutional capacity building. Kyrgyzstan's post-independence experience illustrates the challenges facing resource-poor transitional economies, where entrepreneurship became essential for employment generation and economic development despite decades of Soviet-era prohibition of private enterprise [1]. The interdependence created by Soviet central planning – with individual republics producing components for shared products – generated significant economic turbulence following the USSR's collapse, particularly affecting countries without substantial oil and natural gas reserves [1]. Research on Central Asian entrepreneurship remains notably limited compared to other global regions, creating knowledge gaps regarding entrepreneurial motivations, perceived barriers, and effective support mechanisms in these transitional contexts [1].

3. METHODOLOGY

This study employed a qualitative research design to explore the complex, context-dependent experiences of micro-entrepreneurs navigating post-pandemic recovery in Uzbekistan's transitional economy [9]. Qualitative methodologies prove particularly appropriate for investigating entrepreneurial phenomena in understudied settings where theoretical frameworks remain underdeveloped and contextual nuances significantly shape business practices and strategic decisions [9]. The exploratory nature of this research required in-depth examination of lived experiences, adaptive strategies, and meaning-making processes that quantitative approaches would inadequately capture, especially given the limited prior scholarship on Central Asian entrepreneurship ecosystems.

Participant selection utilized purposive sampling to identify micro-entrepreneurs meeting specific inclusion criteria: business ownership or co-ownership, operation throughout the pandemic period (2020-2022), and willingness to discuss challenges and adaptation strategies [10]. The sampling strategy prioritized sectoral diversity across retail, food services, manufacturing, and personal services to capture varied pandemic impacts and recovery trajectories. Gender representation constituted a deliberate consideration, with recruitment targets ensuring inclusion of women entrepreneurs to examine gender-specific experiences [11]. The final sample comprised eight entrepreneur participants representing diverse business profiles, operational histories, and demographic characteristics that collectively provided rich empirical material for thematic analysis.

Data collection proceeded through semi-structured in-depth interviews conducted between March and May 2023, utilizing an interview guide developed from literature review themes and pilot testing with two entrepreneurs excluded from the final sample. Interview protocols addressed business history, pandemic impacts, adaptation strategies, resource access challenges, institutional barriers, and future outlook. Interviews were conducted in Uzbek and Russian based on participant preference, averaging 75 minutes in duration, and audio-recorded with informed consent. Ethical protocols included voluntary participation assurances, confidentiality guarantees, secure data storage procedures, and participant right to withdraw without penalty, with approval obtained from the institutional review board prior to fieldwork initiation.

Table 1. In-depth interviews

Participant ID	Gender	Business Sector	Years in Operation	Number of Employees
E1	Female	Retail (textiles)	7	3
E2	Male	Food services (café)	5	8
E3	Female	Personal services (beauty salon)	4	2
E4	Male	Manufacturing (furniture)	11	6
E5	Female	Retail (handicrafts)	3	1
E6	Male	Food services (catering)	9	12

E7	Female	Personal services (tutoring center)	6	4
E8	Male	Retail (electronics)	8	5

Source: own editing

Thematic analysis followed systematic procedures beginning with interview transcription and translation into English by bilingual research assistants, followed by independent coding by two researchers to enhance analytical rigor [12]. Initial coding identified 127 discrete codes subsequently organized into 18 preliminary themes through iterative discussion and consolidation. Final thematic structure emerged through constant comparison methods, theoretical sensitivity application, and pattern identification across cases, yielding five major themes addressing barriers, opportunities, resilience strategies, gender dimensions, and institutional challenges. NVivo 12 software facilitated systematic data organization, code management, and theme development documentation [12], [32]. Trustworthiness measures included member checking with four participants, peer debriefing sessions, reflexive journaling throughout analysis, and thick description provision to enable transferability assessment.

Study limitations include the small sample size of eight participants, which restricts generalizability beyond the specific contexts represented and limits statistical inference possibilities. Geographic concentration within Tashkent and Samarkand regions may not capture entrepreneurial experiences in rural areas or other provinces with distinct economic conditions. The cross-sectional design provides snapshot insights rather than longitudinal understanding of adaptation trajectories over time. Potential social desirability bias in interview responses represents a concern, particularly regarding sensitive topics such as informal economic activities, regulatory compliance challenges, and gender discrimination experiences, though efforts to establish rapport and ensure confidentiality aimed to mitigate this limitation.

4 RESULTS

4.1 CHALLENGES

Micro-entrepreneurs in Uzbekistan face complex barriers that limit operations and growth. Interview data revealed five main challenge categories, with institutional and financial constraints cited most frequently.

Institutional barriers included bureaucratic complexity, inconsistent regulations, and corruption pressures that raised transaction costs and uncertainty. Six of eight participants reported opaque licensing processes requiring multiple approvals, with procedures lasting three to eight months. Such inefficiency is typical in transition economies with weak institutional capacity following privatization [13]. Tax and inspection regulations were also unpredictable, with frequent policy shifts and little notice. Three participants mentioned informal payment expectations during regulatory interactions, though the sensitivity of this topic suggests underreporting. Similar findings show that corruption declines when administrative burdens are reduced and audits are strengthened [13]. Government support programs had limited effect, as participants described restrictive eligibility rules, complicated procedures, and insufficient funding.

Financial constraints were universal, with all eight participants identifying capital shortage as the main growth barrier. Banks required substantial collateral, often property titles, which most micro-entrepreneurs could not provide [8]. Five relied on informal lending from family or community networks, reflecting persistent informal finance where formal systems fail [14]. Women faced additional exclusion due to discriminatory loan policies requiring male guarantors [8]. The gender gap in financial access remains substantial across developing regions, even after controlling for income and education [8]. Limited capital restricted expansion, inventory diversification, equipment upgrades, and hiring, forcing slow, incremental growth financed from retained earnings.

Infrastructure deficits further constrained efficiency, particularly in Samarkand and rural areas. Six participants cited power outages disrupting production and damaging equipment, while unreliable internet hindered digital transactions and marketing. Poor transportation infrastructure increased logistics costs and complicated supply chains. The COVID-19 pandemic worsened these

issues as entrepreneurs tried to move online but faced connectivity barriers [5]. Access to essential services such as water, waste management, and postal systems also remained inconsistent.

Gender-specific barriers compounded these challenges. Four female entrepreneurs reported mobility restrictions due to social expectations limiting travel and working hours, while three mentioned difficulties balancing business with caregiving. Such norms constrain women's participation and reinforce financial exclusion [3]. Some women faced customer biases favoring male-owned businesses and family resistance to entrepreneurial ambitions.

Market access barriers reduced revenue potential through limited customer bases, supply chain disruptions, and competition from larger firms leveraging economies of scale [15]. Rural entrepreneurs faced higher transportation costs and isolation from urban markets. Pandemic restrictions further reduced demand and exposed small enterprises' vulnerability to income shocks and weak financial buffers.

4.2 OPPORTUNITIES AND RESILIENCE MECHANISMS

Despite these challenges, micro-entrepreneurs identified adaptive strategies for recovery and growth. Digital transformation was the most cited opportunity, with six participants adopting e-commerce, social media marketing, or online service delivery to overcome market restrictions. Three entrepreneurs used Instagram and Facebook to promote and sell products beyond local markets [16]. Two service providers moved tutoring and beauty consultations online via messaging and video platforms [17]. Digitalization lowered overhead costs and expanded market reach, although weak connectivity still limited potential in some areas [16], [30].

Market niche identification helped firms adapt to new consumer needs. Five participants diversified into high-demand goods such as sanitizers and immunity-boosting foods [18], [31]. One café introduced home delivery and pre-packaged meals to meet preferences for convenience and safety. Three entrepreneurs emphasized locally sourced products to build trust and highlight supply chain reliability. These flexible strategies capitalized on behavioral shifts and temporary market gaps.

Collaboration and social capital played key roles in resilience. Five participants engaged in shared logistics with nearby businesses, while three pooled wholesale orders to obtain discounts [19]. Four entrepreneurs benefited from informal mentoring and peer learning networks that provided guidance on digital tools, compliance, and customer retention [20]. Such mutual support compensated for weak institutional assistance, demonstrating the value of community-based cooperation [19].

Adaptive business models reflected lean entrepreneurship. Six participants cut costs by reducing inventory, adjusting workforce size, and eliminating unnecessary expenses [5]. Resource bricolage – creative use of available assets and personal networks – was widespread. Four entrepreneurs reported major business pivots, such as diversifying products or shifting target markets. One furniture maker transitioned to home office furniture to meet new remote work demands [5].

Policy reform windows offered cautious optimism. Government initiatives on digital registration, licensing, and SME support signaled progress toward a more favorable business environment [4]. Three women mentioned awareness of entrepreneurship programs offering mentorship and loans, though restrictive eligibility criteria often excluded micro-enterprises. Participants emphasized that meaningful reform requires consistent implementation, adequate funding, and transparent monitoring to ensure benefits reach actual entrepreneurs rather than remaining symbolic announcements.

5. DISCUSSION

This study highlights how micro-entrepreneurs in Uzbekistan's transitional economy respond to overlapping vulnerabilities using resilience mechanisms that compensate for weak formal institutions. Drawing on resilience theory, the findings show how entrepreneurs adapted to pandemic disruptions and incomplete market reforms through lean operations, digital tools, and business model shifts [2]. These responses reflect the development of dynamic capabilities, where entrepreneurs reorganized resources, redefined offerings, and identified market niches born of

shifting consumer behavior [6]. Yet these coping strategies often remain unsustainable within broader institutional voids, such as limited credit access, unpredictable regulation, and inadequate infrastructure. The pandemic served as an acute crisis layered atop chronic systemic issues, forcing entrepreneurs to balance immediate survival with long-standing structural constraints, often without reliable external support.

Gender inequality was a critical barrier, particularly for women, who faced combined challenges from pandemic shocks, discriminatory finance practices, and restrictive social norms. Female participants reported needing male co-signers for loans, facing resistance to work-related travel, and struggling with caregiving duties that limited business activity [3]. These compounded disadvantages reduce women's ability to seize post-pandemic opportunities. The overlap between formal discrimination and informal social norms suggests that isolated reforms – either legal or cultural – will not suffice. Progress requires coordinated efforts that include inclusive financial tools, anti-discriminatory regulation, and community engagement to shift gendered expectations.

The strong reliance on informal networks illustrates both the utility and limitations of informality in underdeveloped institutional contexts. Entrepreneurs depended on family lending, peer mentorship, joint logistics, and collective purchasing to access capital and information unavailable through formal systems [20]. While effective in the short term, such networks lack scalability, legal protections, and access to large-scale capital [21]. The continued reliance on informal mechanisms illustrates the adaptive capacity of entrepreneurs while also revealing the limitations of existing institutional support systems. This creates a dual reality in which entrepreneurs operate beyond formal assistance structures, yet still face regulatory and fiscal obligations.

Digital transformation offered significant opportunities, though access remained uneven. Six participants adopted e-commerce platforms, online service delivery, and social media marketing to bypass physical market constraints and expand reach [16]. These digital tools improved efficiency and resilience beyond lockdowns. However, three participants – particularly in Samarkand and rural areas – lacked the internet reliability, electricity, or digital skills needed to benefit. This divide risks entrenching inequality within the micro-enterprise sector, where tech-enabled businesses grow while those without digital access fall behind.

Sustainability trade-offs also emerged. Although participants expressed awareness of sustainable practices – such as energy efficiency and ethical labor standards – implementation was limited due to capital shortages, cost pressures, and lack of incentives [12]. Small businesses prioritized survival and affordability over long-term sustainability goals. The triple bottom line – balancing economic, social, and environmental outcomes – proves difficult to realize without external support, such as access to green finance, enforcement of sustainability regulations, and consumer education campaigns promoting ethical consumption.

Addressing the complex constraints identified in this study requires coordinated policy action across multiple dimensions. Institutional reforms should focus on simplifying regulations, digitalizing permits, and ensuring transparent tax systems to reduce compliance costs and corruption risks [22]. Financial inclusion demands stronger microfinance institutions, alternative collateral options (e.g. movable business assets), and gender-sensitive loan products eliminating co-signer discrimination. Infrastructure investments in electricity, internet, and transportation are essential foundations for digital transition, logistics, and market integration – needs that individual entrepreneurs cannot resolve alone.

Finally, gender equality requires integrated strategies combining legal reform, financial access, and local engagement to confront social norms. Isolated measures cannot address the overlapping challenges women face. Implementation must involve inter-agency collaboration, stakeholder participation – including entrepreneurs themselves – and outcome-based monitoring that captures cross-sectoral impacts, rather than narrow indicators that overlook unintended consequences.

6. CONCLUSION

This study examined the intersecting challenges and adaptive responses of micro-entrepreneurs in Uzbekistan's transitional economy following the COVID-19 pandemic. The findings show that institutional voids – such as bureaucratic delays, unpredictable regulations, and corruption – raise operational costs beyond manageable levels for small enterprises [13]. Financial exclusion emerged as a central barrier, with strict collateral demands, gender-based lending discrimination, and insufficient access to formal credit forcing entrepreneurs to rely on informal networks with limited scalability. Infrastructure deficits – particularly unreliable electricity, poor internet access, and weak transport systems – further constrained business efficiency and limited the ability to benefit from digital opportunities [5]. For women, these constraints were compounded by social norms, mobility restrictions, care responsibilities, and discriminatory loan policies, deepening gender-based exclusion from entrepreneurship [3].

Despite these constraints, micro-entrepreneurs demonstrated resilience through digital adoption, market repositioning, collaboration, and selective engagement with emerging policy reforms. Many leveraged e-commerce, social media, and remote service platforms to maintain operations, reduce costs, and access wider markets [16]. Others identified new niches in health products or convenience services, adjusted product lines, and emphasized local sourcing to appeal to changing consumer preferences. Informal collaboration – such as shared logistics and peer learning – provided critical support when formal institutions failed [23]. Government reforms in business registration and SME support offered some promise, though implementation gaps limited real impact.

The study contributes to entrepreneurship research in Central Asia, an underexplored region in global scholarship. By documenting adaptation patterns in a resource-constrained and institutionally fragile context, it offers insights into how micro-enterprises respond to layered challenges – pandemic-related and structural alike. The integration of resilience, institutional, and gender theories provides a multi-dimensional lens to understand micro-entrepreneurial strategies in settings where informal systems compensate for weak formal structures.

Future research should explore long-term resilience through longitudinal studies, assess the effectiveness of targeted interventions, and conduct comparative analyses across Central Asian countries. Quantitative impact evaluations could clarify what types of support – financial, regulatory, or infrastructural – yield measurable benefits. Sector-specific studies could identify tailored strategies for industries like agriculture, manufacturing, or digital services, where challenges and opportunities differ significantly [2], [6], [24].

Micro-entrepreneurship in transitional economies like Uzbekistan serves both as a survival strategy for those lacking formal employment and as a potential driver of inclusive economic development. However, transforming necessity-based entrepreneurship into sustainable growth engines requires fundamental reforms. These include improving institutional frameworks, broadening access to finance, upgrading infrastructure, and addressing gender and geographic inequalities. Without such systemic changes, the potential of micro-enterprises to reduce poverty, generate employment, and contribute to resilient development will remain limited. Coordinated policies that address interconnected barriers are essential to unlock the full developmental role of micro-entrepreneurship in Uzbekistan and comparable contexts.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Aziz, B. A. Friedman, A. Bopieva & I. Keles, "Entrepreneurial motives and perceived problems: An empirical study of entrepreneurs in Kyrgyzstan," *International Journal of Business*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 163-176, 2013.
- [2] M. Belitski, C. Guenther, A. S. Kritikos & R. Thurik, "Economic effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on entrepreneurship and small businesses," *Small Business Economics*, vol. 58, no. 2, pp. 593-609, Feb. 2022.
- [3] E. Lwamba et al., "Strengthening women's empowerment and gender equality in fragile

- contexts towards peaceful and inclusive societies: A systematic review and meta-analysis," *Campbell Systematic Reviews*, vol. 18, no. 1, e1214, 2022.
- [4] World Bank, *Doing Business 2011: Making a Difference for Entrepreneurs*, Washington, D.C.: World Bank / IFC, 2010.
- [5] D. Ufua, O. Olujobi, H. Tahir, M. A. S. Al-Faryan, O. Matthew & E. S. Osabuohien, "Lean entrepreneurship and SME practice in a post COVID-19 pandemic era: A conceptual discourse from Nigeria," *Global Journal of Flexible Systems Management*, 2022.
- [6] M. Ranjbari et al., "Three pillars of sustainability in the wake of COVID-19: A systematic review and future research agenda for sustainable development," *Journal of Cleaner Production*, vol. 297, 126660, 2021.
- [7] J. R. Nellis, *Time to Rethink Privatization in Transition Economies?* Washington, D.C.: World Bank, 1999.
- [8] A. Demirgüç-Kunt, L. Klapper & D. Singer, "Financial inclusion and legal discrimination against women: Evidence from developing countries," Policy Research Working Paper 6416, The World Bank, 2013.
- [9] S. Ahadi & S. Kasraie, "Contextual factors of entrepreneurship intention in manufacturing SMEs: The case study of Iran," *Journal of Entrepreneurship in Emerging Economies*, 2020.
- [10] V. A. Srimulyani & Y. B. Hermanto, "Impact of entrepreneurial self-efficacy and entrepreneurial motivation on micro and small business success for food and beverage sector in East Java, Indonesia," *Sustainability*, vol. 13, 2021.
- [11] D. McKenzie & C. Woodruff, "Business practices in small firms in developing countries," *Management Science*, vol. 63, no. 9, pp. 2967-2981, Sept. 2017.
- [12] V. Tarnovskaya, "Sustainability as the source of competitive advantage. How sustainable is it?" In *Creating a Sustainable Competitive Position: Ethical Challenges for Global Firms*, Emerald Publishing Limited, 2023, pp. 77-94.
- [13] J. H. Anderson & C. W. Gray, *Anticorruption in Transition 3: Who Is Succeeding and Why?*, Washington, D.C.: World Bank Publications (World Bank Group), 2006.
- [14] A. Demirgüç-Kunt & L. Klapper, "Financial inclusion in Africa: An overview," *Policy Research Working Paper* No. 6088, Washington, D.C.: The World Bank, June 2012.
- [15] M. Ayyagari, A. Demirgüç-Kunt & V. Maksimovic, "Small vs. Young Firms Across the World: Contribution to Employment, Job Creation, and Growth," *Policy Research Working Paper* No. 5631, Washington, D.C.: The World Bank, Sept. 2011.
- [16] M. M. H. Shahadat, Md. Nekmahmud, P. Ebrahimi & M. Fekete-Farkas, "Digital Technology Adoption in SMEs: What Technological, Environmental and Organizational Factors Influence in Emerging Countries?" *Global Business Review*, 2023. DOI: 10.1177/09721509221137199.
- [17] M. M. H. Shahadat, Md. Nekmahmud, P. Ebrahimi & M. Fekete-Farkas, "Digital Technology Adoption in SMEs: What Technological, Environmental and Organizational Factors Influence SMEs' ICT Adoption in Emerging Countries?" *Global Business Review*, 2023. DOI: 10.1177/09721509221137199.
- [18] C. N. Wanyoike & M. Maseno, "Exploring the motivation of social entrepreneurs in creating successful social enterprises in East Africa," *New England Journal of Entrepreneurship*, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 79-104, 2021. DOI: 10.1108/NEJE-07-2020-0028.
- [19] C. Grootaert, D. Narayan, V. N. Jones & M. Woolcock, *Measuring Social Capital: An Integrated Questionnaire*, World Bank Working Paper No. 18, Washington, D.C.: The World Bank, 2004.
- [20] P. Dasgupta & I. Serageldin (Eds.), *Social Capital: A Multifaceted Perspective*, Washington, D.C.: The World Bank, 1999.
- [21] G. Perry, O. Arias, P. Fajnzylber, W. F. Maloney, A. Mason & J. Saavedra-Chanduv, *Informality: Exit and Exclusion*, Washington, D.C.: The World Bank, 2007.
- [22] D. E. Kaufmann, A. Kraay & M. Mastruzzi, "Governance Matters VIII: Aggregate and Individual Governance Indicators, 1996-2008," *Policy Research Working Paper* No. 4978, Washington, D.C.: World Bank, June 2009.

- [23] O. Khlystova, Y. Kalyuzhnova, M. Belitski, et al., "The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the creative industries: A literature review and future research agenda," *Journal of Business Research*, vol. 139, pp. 1192-1210, 2022.
- [24] Y. Lee, J. Kim, S. Mah & A. Karr, "Entrepreneurship in times of crisis: A comprehensive review with future directions," *Entrepreneurship Research Journal*, 2023.
- [25] D. Dollar, T. Kleineberg & A. Kraay, "Growth Still Is Good for the Poor," *Policy Research Working Paper* No. 6568, Washington, D.C.: World Bank, Aug. 2013.
- [26] R. Aterido, T. Beck & L. Iacovone, "Gender and finance in Sub-Saharan Africa: Are women disadvantaged?" *World Bank Policy Research Working Paper* No. 5938, Washington, D.C.: World Bank, 2011.
- [27] World Bank, *World Development Indicators 2007*, Washington, D.C.: World Bank, 2007.
- [28] É. Berde & S. Remsei, "Turning the triple burden of Ukrainian depopulation into a quadruple burden: The results of a survey among Ukrainian refugee women," *Economics & Sociology*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 296–312, 2025. <https://doi.org/10.14254/2071-789X.2025/18-1/16>
- [29] M. R. Onori, N. Gyurian Nagy & G. Szabó-Szentgróti, "Ethical leadership and organizational resilience: Exploring the interconnected dynamics," *International Journal of Ethics and Systems*, vol. 41, no. 3, pp. 727–760, 2025. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJOES-08-2024-0260>
- [30] Zs. I. Horváth, M. Kupi & V. Kundi, "Digitalization and tourism: How X, Y, and Z generations make travel decisions in the online era," *GeoJournal of Tourism and Geosites*, vol. 60, Special Issue, p. 1302, 2025. <https://doi.org/10.30892/gtg.602spl26-1502>
- [31] F. Darabos, V. Kundi & C. Kómíves, "Tourist attitudes toward heritage of a county in Western Hungary," *Sustainability*, vol. 16, no. 13, article 5739, 2024. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su16135739>
- [32] V. Keller & S. Gombos, "Household food waste reduction determinants in Hungary: Towards understanding responsibility, awareness, norms, and barriers," *Foods*, vol. 14, no. 5, article 728, 2025. <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods14050728>